

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,177	02/21/2002	Larry Paul Heck	003932.P018	2744
75	90 02/28/2005	EXAMINER		
Sanjeet K. Dutta			SERROU, ABDELALI	
BLAKELY, SO	KOLOFF, TAYLOR &	ZAFMAN LLP		
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2654	
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			DATE MAIL ED: 02/20/2000	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/081,177	HECK, LARRY PAUL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Abdelali Serrou	2654				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONI, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed ((30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the B	Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the state of the s	,					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in Aport the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/21/02</u> .		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/081,177

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 2. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Sharma et al. (U.S Patent 6, 539, 352, issued March 25, 2003).
- 3. As per claims 1, 7, 11, 23, and 26 Sharma et al. teach a system, method, and a machine-readable medium that comprise:
 - Receiving a first (enrollment) utterance from an intended talker (user) during enrollment at an integrated speech and speaker recognition system (During enrollment, the user speaks the password, which is sampled by the system, column 3, line 27, 28);

- Generating a voice characteristic model for the user (Feature extraction is then performed to extract features of the user's voice, column 3, line 41, 42);
- Receiving a second (test) utterance from the intended talker at the speaker recognition system (during verification, the speaker speaks the password into the system, column 4, line 32, 33). As mentioned in column 13, lines 56-59, the system uses a "key-word/key-phrase spotter" to separate the test speech (second utterance) from background noise and sounds, implying that the second utterance is in a noisy environment;
- Computing a speaker verification score with the voice characteristic model associated with a test portion of speech. In the verification the "test speech" password gets processed in the same manner as the enrollment phase (column 4, line 33, 34) wherein the voice features are extracted (column 3, lines 41-43), then the "test speech" password is segmented and scored as described above in the case of the speech recognition;
- Computing a speech recognition score associated with the portion of speech.
 During the process of the second utterance "test speech" (column 4, line 28)
 Sharma et al. teach a system that also recognizes the password phrase or the "test speech" associated with the portion of the speech (column 4, lines 27-31),
 segments the password into subwords and uses multiple classifiers to score the subwords (column 5, line 10, 11);
- Generating a combined score by combining the speaker verification score and the speech recognition score (the scores are fused or combined, column 5, line 11, 12);

- Inherently selecting a best hypothesis associated with the second utterance and based upon the combined score (If the final score exceeds or equals the threshold, the test sample is verified as the user's. If the final score is less than the threshold, the test sample is declared not to be the user's, column 5, lines 14-16).
- 4. As per claims 2, 9, 12 and 18, based on the rejection used above, Sharma et al. teach processing a portion of speech of the utterance received from the user, wherein the portion of the speech includes a password phrase (column 4, line 65).
- 5. As per claims 3, 10, 13, and 19 Sharma et al. teach altering a search path in Viterbi search used by the speech recognizer (Viterbi or Dynamic programming (DP) based algorithms are used to locate the optimal boundaries for the subword segments, column 15, lines 55-57)
- 6. As per claims 4, 14, and 20 Sharma et al. teach the use of a hotword speech recognition to identify the talker (Key word/key phrase spotting is used to optimally locate the password, column 2, line 60-61)...
- 7. As per claims 5, 15, and 21 Sharma et al. combat the effect of the background noise (column 4, line 63-64) such as speech and music (column 4, line 56-57)
- 8. As per claims 6, 16, and 22 Sharma et al. teach voice feature (characteristic) extraction wherein voice characteristics include voice print, stored in a voice print

database (column 6, line 36), personal profile (column 3, line 37-39) and linguistic characteristics that are unique to the talker voice (inherent in Feature extraction performed to extract features of the user's voice, such as pitch, spectral frequencies, intonations, column 3, lines 41-43).

- 9. As per claim 8, Sharma et al. teach a speech input device that comprises cellular, analog, and digital phone, and voice over internet protocol device (The enrollment speech may be obtained via a receiver, telephone or other sources, and be received from any transmission media, digital or analog, including terrestrial links, land lines, satellite, microwave, column 6, lines 45-49).
- 10. As per claim 24, Sharma et al. teach a voice characteristic model such as pitch, spectral frequencies, and intonations (column 3, lines 42, 43) that are stored in a voice print database (column 3, line 48, 49) that will serve as model database during verification phase.
- 11. As per claim 25, Sharma et al. teach a method of obtaining the voice characteristic model from the enrollment speech (including a first portion of the utterance).
- 12. As per claim 27, since Sharma et al. teach a CPU for speech recognition and verification (column 5, line 63), it's inherent that the system includes a speech server that comprises the software entities to execute the application. Furthermore, to able to

process the input speech, a processor, memory, and a bus are inherent in the system in order to store the software entities and process the speech.

As per claim 28, Sharma et al. teach an inherent database connected to the speech 13. server wherein the voice characteristic model of the talker is stored (An enrollment component is used to characterize a known user's voice and store the characteristics in a database, so that this information is available for future comparisons column 3, lines 23-25)

Conclusion

14-The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Erhart et al. (U.S 6, 243, 678) teach a speech recognition system that generates a word score and determine whether the received speech utterance matches the stored text string. Maes (US 6,477, 500) teach a speaker recognition and verification system that can be used in call center type of environment to accept customer's commands or requests.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abdelali Serrou whose telephone number is 703-305-0513. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Talivaldis Smits can be reached on 703-306-3011. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Abdelali Serrou 02/22/2005

TALIVALDIS IVARS ŠMITS PRIMARY EXAMINER